



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/643,172	08/21/2000	William J. Meserve	PAHTL.047A	8734	
20995 7	7590 08/27/2003				
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN S' FOURTEENT	H FLOOR		NGUYEN, DINH Q		
IRVINE, CA	92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3752	10	
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/643,172	MESERVE ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	[
	Dinh Q Nguyen	3752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPRING Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	 a timely filed amendment whic 	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	ation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the maili			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI e date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo f the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	g date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appoint of the fee. The apporting the fee. The apporting in the final	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Exam	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement			
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		. WI 11	

Dinh Q Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit: 3752 Continuation of 2. NOTE: claims 1 and 15 recite the limitation "a plurality of openings therein sized to confine a drying agent in the container but to permit fire extinguisher fluid to enter through" that is considered as new issues, the recitation is not in the original filed claims.

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant amendmed claims in the amendment filed March 07, 2003. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground of rejection. Therefore, the rejection is made final. the Wilbur's patent teaches the removable container is removable from inside the fire extinguisher bottle which was added to amend the claims in the amendment filed March 07, 2003.